

**Electronically FILED by
Superior Court of California,
County of Los Angeles
1/05/2026 3:32 PM
David W. Slayton,
Executive Officer/Clerk of Court,
By S. Ruiz, Deputy Clerk**

SHAYLA MYERS (SBN 264054)
LEGAL AID FOUNDATION
OF LOS ANGELES
1550 W. 8th Street
Los Angeles, CA 90017
Telephone: 213-640-3983
Facsimile: 213-640-3988
Email: smyers@lafla.org

REBECCA CARR MILLER (SBN 317405)
HILDA CHAN (SBN 285699)
RICHARD A. ROTHSCHILD (SBN 67356)
WESTERN CENTER ON LAW &
POVERTY
3701 Wilshire Blvd., #208
Los Angeles, CA 90010
Telephone: 213-235-2642
Facsimile: 213-487-0242
Email: rmiller@wclp.org
hchan@wclp.org
rrothschild@wclp.org

ERIN NEFF (SBN 326579)
DISABILITY RIGHTS EDUCATION &
DEFENSE FUND
3075 Adeline Street, Ste. 210
Berkeley, CA 94703-2578
Telephone: 510-644-2555
Email: eneff@dredf.org

ADRIENNA WONG (SBN 282026)
ACLU FOUNDATION OF SOUTHERN
CALIFORNIA
1313 West 8th Street
Los Angeles, CA 90017
Telephone: 213-977-9500
Facsimile: 213-977-5297
Email: awong@aclusocal.org

Attorneys for Petitioner CD 11 Coalition for Human Rights

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

CD 11 COALITION FOR HUMAN RIGHTS,

) Case No. 26STCP00040

Petitioner / Plaintiff,

)) VERIFIED PETITION FOR WRIT OF
)) MANDATE (Code of Civil Procedure
)) § 1085) AND COMPLAINT FOR
)) DECLARATORY RELIEF (Code of C
)) Procedure §§ 526(a), 1060)

CITY OF LOS ANGELES, and Does 1-30,

Respondents / Defendants.

) DECLARATORY RELIEF (Code of Civil Procedure §§ 526(a), 1960)

1 Plaintiff and Petitioner CD11 Coalition for Human Rights hereby petitions this court for
2 an alternative writ of mandate and a peremptory writ of mandate under Code of Civil Procedure
3 Section 1085, directed to Respondent and Defendant City of Los Angeles and Does 1-30,
4 stopping Respondent's implementation of Assembly Bill 630, because the bill's plain language
5 authorizes implementation only by the "Counties of Alameda and Los Angeles." Petitioner and
6 Plaintiff hereby complains against Respondent and Defendant as follows:

7 **PARTIES**

8 1. Petitioner and Plaintiff CD 11 Coalition for Human Rights (the "Coalition for
9 Human Rights") is a coalition of organizations and individuals in the City of Los Angeles that
10 supports the human and civil rights of people experiencing homelessness, including those who
11 reside in recreational vehicles. The Coalition for Human Rights advocates for care-centered,
12 evidence-based solutions to homelessness, including voluntary housing and parking stability. To
13 achieve its goals, the Coalition relies heavily on participatory research, which is based on
14 unhoused members' involvement to identify barriers to ending homelessness and strategies to
15 overcome those obstacles. This requires sustained outreach and connection with unhoused
16 members and others who are experiencing homelessness.

17 2. Members of the Coalition for Human Rights include individuals who are
18 unhoused and reside in vehicles in the City of Los Angeles, including recreational vehicles that
19 could be subject to dismantling if the City is allowed to implement Assembly Bill 630 (Veh.
20 Code § 22851.5). The Coalition for Human Rights has members who live, work, own property,
21 and attend school in the city of Los Angeles, and pay taxes in and to the City, including within
22 the year prior to commencement of this action.

23 3. Respondent and Defendant City of Los Angeles (the "City") is a municipal entity
24 with the capacity to sue and be sued. It is a Charter City under the laws of the State of California.

25 4. The Coalition for Human Rights is ignorant of the true names and capacities of
26 Defendants and Respondents sued herein as Does 1-30, inclusive, and therefore sues these
27 Defendants and Respondents by such fictitious names. The Coalition for Human Rights will
28 amend this Petition and Complaint to allege their true capacities when ascertained. The Coalition

1 for Human Rights is informed and believes and thereon alleges that each of the fictitiously
2 named Defendants and Respondents is responsible in some manner for the occurrences herein
3 alleged.

4 **STATEMENT OF FACTS**

5. The City of Los Angeles is recklessly charging ahead to implement a pilot
6 program the state Legislature did not authorize the City to execute. Effective January 1, 2026,
7 Assembly Bill 630 (“AB 630”) authorizes the Counties of Los Angeles and Alameda to
8 implement pilot programs to dispose of recreational vehicles valued up to \$4,000. This is in
9 contrast to existing state law, applicable everywhere else in the state, which limits dismantling to
10 low-value vehicles worth less than \$500. Despite clear language that gives authority to the
11 County of Los Angeles, not the City, the Los Angeles City Council has unambiguously
12 instructed City departments to immediately implement the new law, even before reporting back
13 to the Los Angeles City Council regarding the implementation plan and operational procedures.
14 The City’s actions are illegal and will harm vulnerable Angelenos who live in these RVs, while
15 unlawfully wasting taxpayer resources on activities that exceed the City’s authority. This action
16 seeks to prevent the City from implementing AB 630 and in doing so, acting in direct conflict
17 with existing state law.

6. Under existing law, vehicles that are towed by local jurisdictions and not
7 reclaimed must generally be sold via a lien sale. *See* Veh. Code §§ 22851, 22851.4. A public
8 agency that removes, or causes the removal of, a vehicle must determine the value of the vehicle.
9 If it is valued at \$500 or more, the vehicle that has not been claimed must be sold via a lien sale.
10 The proceeds of the sale are credited first towards the cost of towing and storage, and the
11 remaining proceeds are forwarded to the Department of Motor Vehicles, where they can be
12 claimed by the owner of the vehicle. Veh. Code § 3073.

7. The Vehicle Code sets forth a narrow exception to the lien sale requirement for
8 “low-valued vehicles.” Veh. Code § 22851.6. If a vehicle is valued at less than \$500, the public
9 agency that caused the vehicle to be towed may authorize the disposal of the vehicle, rather than
10 requiring it to be sold via a lien sale. *See* Veh. Code §§ 22851.2, 22851.3, 22851.10. Section

1 22851.3 specifically provides the procedure the public agency must follow for dismantling
2 vehicles valued less than \$500.00 that are towed pursuant to Vehicle Code Section 22669. *See*
3 Veh. Code § 22851.3. If the vehicle is determined to have a value exceeding \$500, the code does
4 not allow the public agency to authorize the disposal of the vehicle. *See* Veh. Code § 22851.4.

5 8. On October 25, 2025, Governor Newsom signed AB 630 into law, which
6 authorizes the Counties of Alameda and Los Angeles to create pilot programs to increase the
7 threshold for dismantling some RVs, rather than requiring them to be sold at auction. AB 630,
8 Stat. 2025, Ch. 669, §§ 1–2, attached as Exhibit A. Under the new law, rather than following
9 Vehicle Code Section 22851.3, the Counties of Alameda and Los Angeles may implement pilot
10 programs that allow them to increase the threshold for dismantling vehicles towed pursuant to
11 Vehicle Code Section 22669 from \$500 to \$4,000—a seven hundred percent increase.

12 9. As part of AB 630, the Legislature found that a “special statute is necessary and
13 that a general statute cannot be made applicable within the meaning of Section 16 of Article IV
14 of the California Constitution.” The Legislature specifically found that “the unique needs of the
15 Counties of Alameda and Los Angeles” allowed each of them to address issues related to
16 abandoned recreational vehicles. Exhibit A, Section 2.

17 10. The Legislature codified AB 630 in a new section of the Vehicle Code, Section
18 22851.5. The new provision became effective on January 1, 2026.

19 11. Despite the unambiguous language in the statute that gives only the Counties of
20 Alameda and Los Angeles the authority to implement new pilot projects, the Los Angeles City
21 Council has instructed its city departments and officials to create its own pilot project that
22 purports to implement AB 630.

23 12. On October 22, 2025, three members of the City Council put forward a motion to
24 instruct the City Administrative Officer to “immediately implement” AB 630, in coordination
25 with the City Attorney, the Department of Transportation, and the Los Angeles Police
26 Department. The motion is attached as Exhibit B.

27 13. On November 12, 2025, the Transportation and Public Safety Committees took up
28 the motion. The Transportation Committee forwarded the motion to the Public Safety

Committee without recommendation. The Public Safety Committee of the City Council of Los Angeles recommended to the City Council: "INSTRUCT the City Administrative Officer, in coordination with the Department of Transportation, Police Department and the City Attorney, to immediately implement AB 630 and report back in 30 days with an overview of its implementation plan, including operational procedures for identifying, valuing, and processing abandoned recreational vehicles consistent with the new \$4,000 threshold established by AB 630, in addition to any amendments to the City's municipal or administrative codes or existing contracts with Official Police Garages."

14. On December 9, 2025, the City Council voted 12-3 to approve the report of the Public Safety Committee and instruct the City Administrative Officer to immediately implement AB 630. The motion was approved without any publicized written procedures or implementation plan. During the discussion of the motion, the report of the Public Safety Committee was amended only to require a report on implementation be given to the Housing and Homelessness Committee, but the amendment reiterated that “this status report will not delay implementation and will be for informational purposes only.” A true and correct copy of the Public Safety Report and amendment are attached as Exhibit C. A true and correct copy of the City Council’s Action is attached as Exhibit D.

15. On December 18, 2025, attorneys for the Coalition for Human Rights sent the City a demand letter requesting that it cease efforts to immediately implement AB 630 because the Legislature only authorized the Counties of Alameda and Los Angeles to implement the pilot program set forth in Vehicle Code Section 22851.5. The Coalition requested a response by December 29, 2025. The City did not respond. Nor did the City respond to subsequent communications regarding its intended implementation.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

Ordinary Mandamus and Prohibition:

Code of Civ. Proc. § 1085, and Vehicle Code §§ 22851.3, 22851.5

16. Petitioner realleges and incorporates by reference the allegations contained in the foregoing paragraphs.

1 17. Mandamus is authorized under Code of Civil Procedure Section 1085.

2 18. Vehicle Code Section 22851.3 sets forth the statutory requirements a jurisdiction
3 must follow when an abandoned vehicle is towed and states that the jurisdiction may authorize
4 the disposal of vehicles with an estimated value of \$500 or less.

5 19. Vehicle Code Section 22851.5 creates a narrow authorization for only the
6 “Counties of Alameda and Los Angeles [to] implement a program to dispose of recreational
7 vehicles” with an estimated value of up to \$4,000. For all other jurisdictions, Vehicle Code
8 Section 22851.3 remains the operative statutory authority governing the disposition of vehicles.

9 20. The City has a ministerial duty to follow the statutory provisions in Section
10 22851.3, including that it may only authorize and cause the disposal of RVs with a value of \$500
11 or less.

12 21. The City violates its ministerial duty under Section 22851.3 when it authorizes
13 and causes the disposal of RVs with a value above \$500.

14 22. Because the present dispute is a question of public right, the Coalition for Human
15 Rights has public interest standing, since there is no greater personal interest than that of an
16 individual who wants the law enforced. In addition, the Coalition for Human Rights is
17 beneficially interested in the outcome of this dispute, since it has a special interest to be served or
18 protected over and above the interest held in common with the public at large as a coalition
19 supporting the human and civil rights of unhoused and vehicularly housed people in the City of
20 Los Angeles who are directly and substantially affected by the City’s unlawful implementation
21 of AB 630.

22 23. The Coalition for Human Rights has no plain, speedy, and adequate remedy at
23 law.

24 24. The Coalition for Human Rights is thus entitled to a writ of mandate under Code
25 of Civil Procedure Section 1085 commanding the City to comply with its mandatory duties to
26 adhere to Section 22851.3 and to cease *ultra vires* implementation of Section 22851.5.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

Declaratory Relief:

Code of Civ. Proc. §§ 1060, 526a

25. Petitioner realleges and incorporates by reference the allegations contained in the foregoing paragraphs.

26. An actual controversy exists between the Coalition for Human Rights and the City as to whether the City has been authorized by the state legislature to implement AB 630.

8 27. The Coalition for Human Rights contends that AB 630, which states that only the
9 Counties of Alameda and Los Angeles may implement a program to cause RVs worth up to
10 \$4,000 to be dismantled, does not allow the City to implement such a program. The Coalition
11 for Human Rights is informed and believes that the City disputes this jurisdictional limitation
12 and instead contends that it may implement AB 630.

28. The Coalition for Human Rights requests a judicial determination that AB 630 does not authorize the City of Los Angeles to implement a program to dismantle RVs that are valued over \$500.

29. This determination is necessary and proper because the City, by and through its City Council, has instructed its CAO, the Department of Transportation, and the Los Angeles Police Department to begin immediately implementing AB 630.

30. Respondent has expended and will continue to expend public funds in the promulgation and implementation of unlawful policies and practices as described above.

31. The Coalition for Human Rights is an unincorporated membership organization that has paid taxes that have funded the City in the past year, and which includes at least one City of Los Angeles resident and taxpayer who has paid taxes that have funded the City within the past year. The organization and members have interest as taxpayers in the City's compliance with state law, as well as the state Legislature's intent to authorize implementation of pilot programs by two counties it specifically found had "unique needs" justifying the special statute. AB 630, Stats. 2025, Ch. 699, § 2.

32. The Coalition for Human Rights, who within one year before the commencement

1 of this suit, and its members, who paid a tax within and to Respondent City of Los Angeles, have
2 been and will be substantially affected by the City's illegal *ultra vires* actions.

3 **PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

4 WHEREFORE, the Petitioner Coalition on Human Rights prays that this Court enter
5 judgment in its favor and against the Respondent City of Los Angeles on all counts, and:

- 6 a. For an Alternative Writ of Mandate to be issued pursuant to Code of Civil
7 Procedure Section 1085, ex parte under the seal of this court, ordering
8 Respondent:
 - 9 i. to vacate its instruction to the City Administrative Office (CAO),
10 Los Angeles Department of Transportation, Los Angeles Police
11 Department, and the City Attorney's Office to implement AB 630;
12 and
 - 13 ii. to comply with its mandatory duty to follow the law and, pursuant
14 to Vehicle Code Section 22851.3, only authorize the disposal of
15 vehicles with an estimated value of \$500 or less; or
- 16 b. For Respondent to show cause before this court, by January 28th, 2026 , or as soon
17 thereafter is possible, at a time and place specified by court order, why it has not
18 done so and why a peremptory writ should not issue; and on return of the
19 alternative writ and hearing on the order to show cause, for a peremptory writ of
20 mandate to be issued under the seal of this court ordering Respondent to:
 - 21 i. vacate its instruction to the CAO and related departments to
22 implement AB 630;
 - 23 ii. comply with the law and, pursuant to Vehicle Code Section
24 22851.3, authorize and cause the disposal of only vehicles with an
25 estimated value of \$500 or less;
- 26 c. For a declaration, pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure Sections 526(a) and 1060,
27 that Vehicle Code Section 22851.5 does not authorize the City of Los Angeles to
28 implement a pilot program under that Section;

- d. For the Coalition for Human Rights' costs and reasonable attorney's fees, payable to the Coalition for Human Rights' counsel; and
- e. For such other further relief the Court deems just and proper.

Dated: January 5, 2026

LEGAL AID FOUNDATION OF LOS ANGELES

By: S. Myers
Shayla Myers
Attorneys for CD11 Coalition for Human Rights

WESTERN CENTER ON LAW AND POVERTY

By: Rebecca Carr Miller
Rebecca Carr Miller
Attorneys for CD11 Coalition for Human Rights

DISABILITY RIGHTS EDUCATION & DEFENSE FUND

By: Erin Neff
Erin Neff
Attorneys for CD11 Coalition for Human Rights

ACLU FOUNDATION OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA

By: Adrienne Wong
Adrienne Wong
Attorneys for CD11 Coalition for Human Rights

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

VERIFICATION

I, Peggy Lee Kennedy, hereby declare:

CD 11 Coalition for Human Rights is the Petitioner and Plaintiff in this action, and I am authorized to execute this verification on behalf of the Coalition for Human Rights. The facts alleged in the above Petition and Complaint are true to my personal knowledge and belief.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above is true and correct and that this verification is executed on this 5th Day of January, 2026 in Los Angeles, California.



Peggy Lee Kennedy

EXHIBIT A



AB-630 Abandoned recreational vehicles. (2025-2026)

SHARE THIS:

Date Published: 10/14/2025 02:00 PM

Assembly Bill No. 630

CHAPTER 699

An act to add and repeal Section 22851.5 of the Vehicle Code, relating to vehicles.

[Approved by Governor October 13, 2025. Filed with Secretary of State October 13, 2025.]

LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL'S DIGEST

AB 630, Mark González. Abandoned recreational vehicles.

Under existing law, if a peace officer or employee of a public agency has reasonable grounds to believe a vehicle is abandoned, they are authorized to remove the vehicle from a highway or public or private property and store it, as specified. Existing law provides a specific procedure for the disposal of an abandoned vehicle valued at \$500 or less that includes notifying the Stolen Vehicle System of the Department of Justice and contacting the registered and legal owners of record with the Department of Motor Vehicles, among other procedural requirements.

This bill, until January 1, 2030, would authorize the Counties of Alameda and Los Angeles to implement similar procedures for the disposal of recreational vehicles, as defined, valued at \$4,000 or less. The bill would impose specified conditions on this authority, including requiring a public agency, prior to disposing of a recreational vehicle, to provide authorization that the recreational vehicle is inoperable, except as specified. The bill would make the public agency that removed, or caused the removal of, the recreational vehicle and that directed any towing or storage, responsible for the towing and storage costs if it is determined that the vehicle was not inoperable or was not a hazard to public health, safety, and welfare. The bill would require each local public agency that is authorized and designated to remove vehicles pursuant to these provisions to report certain data to their governing body on an annual basis, including the number of recreational vehicles removed and the number of people found in the recreational vehicle prior to removal.

This bill would make legislative findings and declarations as to the necessity of a special statute for the Counties of Alameda and Los Angeles.

Vote: majority Appropriation: no Fiscal Committee: yes Local Program: no

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA DO ENACT AS FOLLOWS:

SECTION 1. Section 22851.5 is added to the Vehicle Code, to read:

22851.5. (a) The Counties of Alameda and Los Angeles may implement a program to dispose of recreational vehicles subject to the requirements described in subdivision (b).

(b) Whenever a peace officer, as defined in Chapter 4.5 (commencing with Section 830) of Title 3 of Part 2 of the Penal Code, or any other employee of a public agency authorized pursuant to Section 22669, removes, or causes the removal of, a recreational vehicle pursuant to Section 22669, and the public agency or, at the request of the public agency, the lienholder determines the estimated value of the recreational vehicle is four thousand dollars (\$4,000) or less, the public agency that removed, or caused the removal of, the recreational vehicle shall cause the disposal of the recreational vehicle subject to all of the following requirements:

(1) Not less than 72 hours before the recreational vehicle is removed, the peace officer or the authorized public employee has securely attached to the recreational vehicle a distinctive notice which states that the recreational vehicle will be removed by the public agency and that if the recreational vehicle is towed, it can be recovered for at least 30 days after the public agency notifies the registered owner of the recreational vehicle pursuant to paragraph (4). The notice shall also include contact information for an individual to learn where their recreational vehicle and other possessions may be recovered. This subdivision does not apply to abandoned recreational vehicles removed pursuant to subdivision (d) of Section 22669 which are determined by the public agency to have an estimated value of three hundred dollars (\$300) or less.

(2) Immediately after removal of the recreational vehicle, the public agency which removed, or caused the removal of, the vehicle shall notify the Stolen Vehicle System of the Department of Justice in Sacramento of the removal.

(3) The public agency that removed, or caused the removal of, the recreational vehicle or, at the request of the public agency, the lienholder shall obtain a copy of the names and addresses of all persons having an interest in the recreational vehicle, if any, from the Department of Motor Vehicles either directly or by use of the California Law Enforcement Telecommunications System. This paragraph does not require the public agency or lienholder to obtain a copy of the actual record on file at the Department of Motor Vehicles.

(4) Within 48 hours of the removal, excluding weekends and holidays, the public agency that removed, or caused the removal of, the recreational vehicle or, at the request of the public agency, the lienholder shall send a notice to the registered and legal owners at their addresses of record with the Department of Motor Vehicles, and to any other person known to have an interest in the recreational vehicle. A notice sent by the public agency shall be sent by certified or first-class mail, and a notice sent by the lienholder shall be sent by certified mail. The notice shall include all of the following information:

(A) The name, address, and telephone number of the public agency providing the notice.

(B) The location of the place of storage and description of the recreational vehicle, which shall include, if available, the vehicle make, license plate number, vehicle identification number, and mileage.

(C) The authority and purpose for the removal of the recreational vehicle.

(D) A statement that the registered owner has up to 30 days from the date of notice to claim the recreational vehicle.

(E) A statement that the owners and interested persons, or their agents, have the opportunity for a poststorage hearing before the public agency that removed, or caused the removal of, the recreational vehicle to determine the validity of the storage if a request for a hearing is made in person, in writing, or by telephone within 10 days from the date of notice; that, if the owner or interested person, or their agent, disagrees with the decision of the public agency, the decision may be reviewed pursuant to Section 11523 of the Government Code; and that during the time of the initial hearing, or during the time the decision is being reviewed pursuant to Section 11523 of the Government Code, the recreational vehicle in question may not be disposed of.

(5) If the agency performing the removal is unable to collect the information necessary to identify the registered and legal owner of the recreational vehicle, including, but not limited to, the vehicle identification number, and is consequently unable to send the notice described in paragraph (4), the agency shall instead place and affix at least two copies of the notice within close geographic proximity to the removal location within 48 hours of the removal.

(6) (A) A requested hearing shall be conducted within 48 hours of the request, excluding weekends and holidays. The public agency that removed the recreational vehicle may authorize its own officers to conduct the

hearing if the hearing officer is not the same person who directed the storage of the recreational vehicle.

(B) Failure of either the registered or legal owner or interested person, or their agent, to request or to attend a scheduled hearing shall satisfy the poststorage validity hearing requirement of this section.

(7) The public agency employing the person, or utilizing the services of a contractor or franchiser pursuant to subdivision (b) of Section 22669, that removed, or caused the removal of, the recreational vehicle and that directed any towing or storage, is responsible for the costs incurred for towing and storage if it is determined in the hearing that reasonable grounds to believe that the recreational vehicle was abandoned are not established.

(8) The public agency that removed, or caused the removal of, the recreational vehicle and that directed any towing and storage pursuant to subdivision (d) of Section 22669, is responsible for the costs incurred for towing and storage if it is determined in the hearing that the recreational vehicle was not inoperable or was not a hazard to public health, safety, and welfare.

(9) An authorization for disposal may not be issued by the public agency that removed, or caused the removal of, the recreational vehicle to a lienholder who is storing the recreational vehicle prior to the conclusion of a requested poststorage hearing or any judicial review of that hearing.

(10) (A) If, after 30 days from the notification date, the recreational vehicle remains unclaimed and the towing and storage fees have not been paid, and if no poststorage hearing was requested or a poststorage hearing was not attended, the public agency that removed, or caused the removal of, the recreational vehicle shall provide to the lienholder who is storing the recreational vehicle, on a form approved by the Department of Motor Vehicles, authorization to dispose of the recreational vehicle. Except as provided in subparagraph (B), the authorization to dispose of the recreational vehicle shall include a verification that the recreational vehicle is inoperable. The lienholder may request the public agency to provide the authorization to dispose of the recreational vehicle.

(B) If the recreational vehicle is operable, the public agency may authorize the disposal of the recreational vehicle only if it was towed due to it posing an environmental or public safety hazard.

(11) If the recreational vehicle is claimed by the owner or their agent within 30 days of the notice date, the lienholder who is storing the vehicle may collect reasonable fees for services rendered, but may not collect lien sale fees as provided in Section 22851.12.

(12) Disposal of the recreational vehicle by the lienholder who is storing the vehicle may only be to a licensed dismantler or scrap iron processor. A copy of the public agency's authorization for disposal shall be forwarded to the licensed dismantler within five days of disposal to a licensed dismantler. A copy of the public agency's authorization for disposal shall be retained by the lienholder who stored the recreational vehicle for a period of 90 days if the recreational vehicle is disposed of to a scrap iron processor.

(13) (A) If the names and addresses of the registered and legal owners of the recreational vehicle are not available from the records of the Department of Motor Vehicles, either directly or by use of the California Law Enforcement Telecommunications System, the public agency may issue to the lienholder who stored the recreational vehicle an authorization for disposal at any time after the removal.

(B) The lienholder may request the public agency to issue an authorization for disposal after the lienholder ascertains that the names and addresses of the registered and legal owners of the recreational vehicle are not available from the records of the Department of Motor Vehicles either directly or by use of the California Law Enforcement Telecommunications System.

(14) A recreational vehicle disposed of pursuant to this section may not be reconstructed or made operable, unless it is a vehicle that qualifies for either horseless carriage license plates or historical vehicle license plates, pursuant to Section 5004, in which case the vehicle may be reconstructed or made operable.

(15) Local public agencies authorized and designated to remove recreational vehicles pursuant to this section shall annually report to their governing body the following information regarding recreational vehicles removed pursuant to this section for the preceding year:

(A) The number of recreational vehicles removed.

(B) The number of people found in recreational vehicles prior to removal.

(C) The number of recreational vehicles that were operable.

(D) The number of recreational vehicles that were inoperable.

(c) For the purposes of this section, the following definitions apply:

(1) A "recreational vehicle" has the same meaning as defined in Section 18010 of the Health and Safety Code.

(2) A recreational vehicle is "inoperable" if it can only be moved by a tow truck.

(d) This section shall remain in effect only until January 1, 2030, and as of that date is repealed.

SEC. 2. The Legislature finds and declares that a special statute is necessary and that a general statute cannot be made applicable within the meaning of Section 16 of Article IV of the California Constitution because of the unique needs of the Counties of Alameda and Los Angeles to address issues related to abandoned recreational vehicles.

EXHIBIT B

TRANSPORTATION

PUBLIC SAFETY

MOTION

Every day, abandoned and inoperable RVs create public health and safety hazards across Los Angeles. Often magnets for crime, abandoned RVs also remove parking spaces, create risks for our watershed and impact local residents and businesses.

State laws have contributed to this challenge by making it too easy for impounded RVs to end back up on City streets. Currently, the California Vehicle Code requires abandoned and impounded vehicles valued at more than \$500 to be sold at public auction, meaning a dilapidated or even inoperable RV can simply return to the public right of way for a pittance. This pipeline has placed significant strain on City resources and burdened City departments responsible for the enforcement and impoundment of these vehicles.

In response, the State of California recently enacted Assembly Bill 630 (Gonzalez), which increases this \$500 dollar threshold and authorizes the City of Los Angeles to implement a local program to remove and dismantle abandoned RVs with an estimated value of \$4,000 or less. By keeping vehicles off the auction block and creating a streamlined process for their journey to the scrapyard, AB 630 is one more tool to stop the RV to streets pipeline. It also complements existing City efforts to crack down on “van-lords,” who can use this existing loophole in state law to acquire RVs at auction.

Successful implementation of AB 630 will require close coordination between multiple City departments, including the City Administrative Officer, Department of Transportation, Los Angeles Police Department, and the City Attorney. Given the City already successfully operates a CAO-led initiative to remove abandoned RVs from the public right of way, it makes sense to expand this program and incorporate AB 630 requirements. This would include the establishment of consistent protocols for the evaluation of vehicle value, issuance of citations, proper sign posting and mailing of notices, authorization and disposal of abandoned recreational vehicles as well as annual reporting requirements to the City Council.

Given its January 1, 2026 effective date, the City should take immediate steps to implement AB 630.

I THEREFORE MOVE that the City Council instruct the City Administrative Officer, in coordination with the Department of Transportation, Police Department and the City Attorney, to immediately implement AB 630 and report back in 30 days with an overview of its implementation plan, including operational procedures for identifying, valuing, and processing abandoned recreational vehicles consistent with the new \$4,000 threshold established by AB 630, in addition to any amendments to the City's municipal or administrative codes or existing contracts with Official Police Garages.

PRESENTED BY: Traci Park
TRACI PARK
Councilwoman, 11th District

SECONDED BY: Debbie Shult

ORIGINAL

OCT 22 2025

NOV 7 2025

JL

EXHIBIT C

PUBLIC SAFETY COMMITTEE REPORT relative to implementing Assembly Bill 630 (Gonzalez), which increases the \$500 dollar threshold to an estimated value of \$4,000 or less, to authorize the removal and dismantling of abandoned RVs.

Recommendations for Council action, pursuant to Motion (Park - Hutt):

INSTRUCT the City Administrative Officer, in coordination with the Department of Transportation, Police Department and the City Attorney, to immediately implement AB 630 and report back in 30 days with an overview of its implementation plan, including operational procedures for identifying, valuing, and processing abandoned recreational vehicles consistent with the new \$4,000 threshold established by AB 630, in addition to any amendments to the City's municipal or administrative codes or existing contracts with Official Police Garages.

Fiscal Impact Statement: Neither the City Administrative Officer nor the Chief Legislative Analyst has completed a financial analysis of this report.

Community Impact Statement: No

Summary:

On November 12 2025, your Public Safety Committee considered a Motion (Park - Hutt) relative to implementing Assembly Bill 630 (Gonzalez), which increases the \$500 dollar threshold to an estimated value of \$4,000 or less, to authorize the removal and dismantling of abandoned RVs.

After providing an opportunity for public comment, the Public Safety Committee moved to approve the recommendations in the Motion. This matter is now forwarded to the Council for its consideration.

Respectfully Submitted,

PUBLIC SAFETY COMMITTEE

<u>MEMBER</u>	<u>VOTE</u>
LEE	YES
PRICE	YES
McOSKER	YES
SOTO-MARTÍNEZ	YES
PARK	YES

HVR 11-19-25

-NOT OFFICIAL UNTIL COUNCIL ACTS-

Item No. 31

AMENDING MOTION

I move that in the matter relative to implementing Assembly Bill (AB) 630 (Gonzalez), which increases the \$500 dollar threshold to an estimated value of \$4,000 or less, to authorize the removal and dismantling of abandoned Recreational Vehicles, Item No. 31 on today's Council agenda, Council file No. 25-1257, the Council:

ADOPT the PUBLIC SAFETY COMMITTEE REPORT AS AMENDED to REQUEST that a status report regarding the implementation of AB 630 be presented to the Housing and Homelessness Committee and Public Safety Committee. This status report will not delay implementation, and will be for informational purposes only.

PRESENTED BY:

NITHYA RAMAN

Councilmember, 4th District

SECONDED BY:

BOB BLUMENFIELD

Councilmember, 3rd District

12/9/25

MM 25-1257

EXHIBIT D

PATRICE Y. LATTIMORE
CITY CLERK

RUBEN VIRAMONTES
EXECUTIVE OFFICER

City of Los Angeles
CALIFORNIA



KAREN BASS
MAYOR

OFFICE OF THE
CITY CLERK

Council and Public Services Division
200 N. SPRING STREET, ROOM 395
LOS ANGELES, CA 90012
GENERAL INFORMATION - (213) 978-1133
FAX: (213)978-1040

CLERK.LACITY.ORG

OFFICIAL ACTION OF THE LOS ANGELES CITY COUNCIL

Council File No.: 25-1257

Council Meeting Date: December 9, 2025

Agenda Item No.: 31

Agenda Description: PUBLIC SAFETY and TRANSPORTATION COMMITTEES' REPORT relative to implementing Assembly Bill (AB) 630 (Gonzalez), which increases the \$500 dollar threshold to an estimated value of \$4,000 or less, to authorize the removal and dismantling of abandoned Recreational Vehicles.

Council Action: PUBLIC SAFETY and TRANSPORTATION COMMITTEES' REPORT - ADOPTED AS AMENDED BY MOTION (RAMAN – BLUMENFIELD) FORTHWITH

Council Vote:

YES	Blumenfield	YES	Harris-Dawson	NO	Hernandez
YES	Jurado	YES	Hutt	YES	Lee
YES	McOsker	YES	Nazarian	YES	Padilla
YES	Park	YES	Price Jr.	YES	Raman
YES	Rodriguez	NO	Soto-Martínez	YES	Yaroslavsky

PATRICE Y. LATTIMORE
CITY CLERK

Adopted Report(s)Title
Amending Motion (Raman-Blumenfield) 12-9-25
Report from Public Safety Committee 11-12-25
Report from Transportation Committee 11-12-25